

2018 ATP Guidelines and 2019 ATP Guidelines (Cycle 4)



2018 ATP Guidelines

- **\$100 million in FY 17/18 and \$100 million in FY 18/19 from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account created by SB 1**
- **Distributed into the 3 ATP components**
 - ❖ **50% for the Statewide Component**
 - ❖ **10% for Small Urban and Rural Component**
 - ❖ **40% for MPO Component**



2018 ATP Guidelines

Project Eligibility

- Cycle 3 programmed projects that can be delivered earlier
- Projects that applied for funding in the 2017 ATP (Cycle 3) but not selected (contingency list)



2018 ATP Guidelines

Project Selection Process

- Applicants submit updated schedule and funding plan (also maybe a letter)
- All funds committed to the project must be consistent with the updated schedule



2018 ATP Guidelines

Project Selection Process

- Projects will be selected based on a review of project deliverability and Cycle 3 score
- Programming capacity may become available in FY 19/20 and 20/21 through currently programmed Cycle 3 projects advancing



2018 ATP Guidelines

Schedule

- Guidelines workshops – June 2017
- Guidelines adoption – June 28-29, 2017
- Applications due – August 2017
- Program adoption; Statewide and Small Urban & Rural Components – October 18-19, 2017
- Program adoption; MPO Component – December 6-7, 2017



2018 ATP Guidelines

Questions, Comments,
Total Confusion?

2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Preliminary Schedule

- Guidelines workshops – Fall 2017
- Guidelines adoption – January 2018
- Applications due – May 2018
- Program adoption; Statewide and Small Urban & Rural Components – December 2018
- Program adoption; MPO Component – March 2018

2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Proposed Revisions

- Programming
 - ❖ Program 4 years of funds
 - ❖ Reserve a certain amount of funds from later 2 years of program for the 2 earlier years of subsequent program, Ex.

2019 ATP				2021 ATP			
19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25
100m	100m	123m	123m	100m	100m	123m	123m

2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Proposed Revisions

- **Disadvantaged Communities Definition**
 - ❖ Keep the same definition except remove the “Regional definition of disadvantaged communities as adopted in a Regional Transportation Plan...”

2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Proposed Revisions

- Project Applications – 4 Different
 - ❖ Large Project, Infrastructure only or Infrastructure/Non-infrastructure
 - 1.5 million or more total project cost
 - ❖ Small Project, Infrastructure
 - ❖ Small Project, Non-infrastructure
 - ❖ Small Project, Plan

2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Proposed Revisions

- Scoring Criteria
 - ❖ Score Disadvantaged Communities the same as Cycle 3 for all four project application types
 - ❖ Other criteria and scoring tailored to project type

2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Proposed Revisions

- Example Scoring Criteria – Plan *(illustrative only)*
 - ❖ Benefit to DAC (10 points)
 - ❖ Increase Biking and Walking (20 points)
 - ❖ Safety (10 points)
 - ❖ Public Participation and Planning (20 points)
 - ❖ Public Health (10 points)
 - ❖ Plan Implementation (20 points)
 - ❖ Plan Content (10 points)

2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Proposed Revisions

- Programming
 - ❖ Possible future ATP funds cannot be considered as funding source to show fully funded project
 - ❖ Program/Project amendment process
 - Scope changes
 - Other amendments?



2019 ATP Guidelines – Cycle 4

Questions, Ideas, Concerns?